SUGGESTED GUIDELINES
For Wardens, Vestries, and Clergy
Serving in Parishes
During the Professional Development Leave of the Rector

It is the policy in The Episcopal Church in Connecticut to encourage parishes to provide a three month Professional Development Leave (PDL) for their clergy after every five years of continuous service. While not mandatory, such leaves can be extremely beneficial both to the parish and the cleric, for study and refreshment, both spiritual and personal.

Such leaves require extensive advance planning, for the priest to develop goals and the means for achieving them, and for the parish to anticipate both the pastoral needs of the congregation during the Rector’s absence and the costs of meeting those needs. Ideally, a Professional Development Leave is a time to celebrate the ministry of both Rector and congregation and the benefit to all of the Leave itself. The office of The Canon for Mission Leadership is available to offer support and counsel.

The parish is responsible for engaging clergy assistance as needed, whether that be as much as full time or as little as Sunday supply. The following are suggestions to assist in the process of bringing a priest on board during a Professional Development Leave:

- The priest who serves the congregation is neither the Rector nor, strictly speaking, Priest-in-Charge. To the extent that titles are important, other terms, such as Interim Priest may be more helpful.

- Since each situation is different, a sample Letter of Agreement (LOA) is not available. However, as the Letter of Agreement with the Interim Priest is developed, care should be taken to articulate expectations as clearly as possible. For example: the specific dates the agreement begins and ends; that the Interim Priest is accountable to the Wardens; how many units each week the priest is expected to work (and how will she/he will be compensated if the demands of the parish consistently exceed that amount); what professional expenses will be covered (including mileage), and who may authorize such payments. In addition, the LOA might also outline procedures for dealing with disagreements, with a third party, named and accessible. If the Interim Priest has a secular occupation, the LOA might clarify appropriate boundaries between the secular and the priestly work. Finally, the LOA may articulate expectations of the Interim Priest once the Leave is completed (contacts with parishioners, returning to worship, for example).

- If at all possible, the Interim Priest should meet with the Rector before the PDL Leave begins.

Other Considerations:

During the Rector’s absence, it has been found extremely beneficial if frequent and consistent notices are given concerning the progress of the Professional Development Leave. Simple one-line updates (the Rector is currently in Washington D.C. at the College of Preachers, for example) can serve both to keep the parish informed as well as eliminate possible misunderstanding that the Rector is either on vacation or has left the parish permanently. It is also an opportunity for the congregation to understand readily that the PDL is intended for the benefit of the entire community, as well as the priest.

When the Professional Development Leave is completed, the Rector is expected to provide evaluation of the time away to the Bishop. (There is an Evaluation form available on our website episcopalct.org)
Presumably such evaluations are shared with the parish as well, and evaluations from both the Interim Priest and the Vestry might also be helpful to the parish. Questions for consideration might include:

- Was the parish sufficiently prepared for the Leave and educated about its purposes?
- Were the Vestry and Wardens equipped to assume additional responsibilities?
- Was the financial planning sufficient?
- What observations would the Interim Priest make about the health, vision, and sense of mission of the parish?
- With whom and how will the Rector share the benefits of the Leave (for example, parish forums, parish education offerings [adult and children], deanery events)?
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